
Rogue Wave Software

Akana
Abstract

Malicious assaults and denial-of-service (DoS) attacks are increasingly targeting enterprise applications as back-end systems become more accessible and usable through cloud, mobile and in on-premise environments. The API is a major point of vulnerability, given its ability to offer programmatic access to external parties with few organically available controls. Security, therefore, is an essential element of any organization’s API strategy. While API security shares a lot of aspects that are common to both web site security and network security, it is also fundamentally different both in terms of usage patterns as well as the unique areas of additional risks that APIs are susceptible to. For instance APIs move the boundary of interaction from the web tier to the backend applications and data sources directly. The purpose of this paper is to help you understand the necessary components of a well-constructed API security strategy. First it takes you through API risk assessment discussing the various attack vectors that could potentially make your API vulnerable. Then the paper talks about risk mitigation strategies that API providers can put in place to prevent API hacks.
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Introduction

APIs have become a means for accessing data through digital channels such as mobile applications, cloud and the Internet of Things (IoT), making it easy for enterprises to make their information available to wider audiences, whether they are customers, partners or employees. However, as APIs become a part of standard enterprise architecture, their security risk profile is emerging as an issue that potentially diminishes the appeal of this powerful integration technology. Malicious assaults and DoS attacks are increasingly targeting enterprise applications as back-end systems become more accessible and usable through cloud, mobile and in on-premise environments. The API can be a major point of vulnerability, given its ability to offer programmatic access to external developers.

The API can be a major point of vulnerability, given its ability to offer programmatic access to external developers.

API security challenges are a natural successor to earlier waves of security concerns on the Web. When businesses first connected to the Internet in the early 1990s, they encountered the precursor to modern day hackers: malicious users that probed computers for open ports and platform vulnerabilities. To prevent breaches, organizations deployed firewalls and intrusion prevention systems (IPSs). However, when these same organizations opened up access to their Web applications, hackers quickly circumvented the firewalls, and they used evasion techniques like encoding and comments to evade IPS signature detection.

Web Application Firewalls that came onto the scene a few years later could identify the type of application traffic, such as HTTP or instant messaging. But, unfortunately, this application awareness provides little benefit against preventing API attacks. Next generation firewalls cannot block attacks that exploit API specific vulnerabilities. They cannot detect various kinds JSON or XML attacks, or parameter tampering attacks. They cannot stop fraudulent devices or business logic attacks.

Organizations that rely solely on network security solutions to protect their APIs shouldn’t be surprised if they suffer an API application breach.

Security should be an essential element of any organization’s API strategy. Getting API security right, however, can be a challenge. While API security shares much with web application and network security, it is also fundamentally different. Usage patterns are not the same and APIs face other, unique risk factors. The purpose of this paper is to help you understand the necessary components of a well-constructed API security strategy, educate you on how potential hackers can try to compromise your APIs, the apps or your back-end infrastructure, and provide a framework for using the right tools to create an API architecture that allows for maximum access, but with greatest amount of security.

API Risk Assessment

APIs are not exactly a new concept. However, a host of security risk factors parallel the emergence of the RESTful API, which uses HTTP protocols and JSON as the new, ubiquitous connection interface for billions of connected devices and hundreds of thousands of mobile apps and cloud applications. Because APIs use web technologies over the open Internet, an API developer is going to encounter the security threats commonplace in this ecosystem. Most of the traditional risks for web sites and web applications are applicable to APIs, but due to the unique nature of APIs they further expand the surface area of attack. While web applications are designed to provide a specific user interface and expose a specific functionality from the back-end, APIs provide a much more flexible conduit into the back-end, allowing an API consumer much more granularity and flexibility in terms of what information and how much information it can fetch from the back-end servers. Also the level boundary of interactions moves from the web-tier or the relatively more secure DMZ, to applications and data repositories.
that sit behind your firewall. In essence, depending on how an API has been written, it could dangerously expose back-end data, back-end architecture and back-end applications to hacks and provide easy, low lying clues to attach attack vectors.

APIs could easily allow bulk data transfers much more easily than is possible with web applications. An API could be called repeatedly to compromise data or generate a DoS attack. Risks posed by APIs include loss of integrity, confidentiality and availability of data. In some cases, the business impact of a security incident resulting from an attack on an API might be extreme. The following sections highlight some of the more serious threats, vulnerabilities, risk exposures, and business impacts that relate to APIs.

API Threats and Vulnerabilities

Hackers today exploit business logic flaws in your APIs. They perform repeated brute force attacks. They use wildcards in search fields to shut down APIs and applications. They will screen your APIs to find loopholes to extract valuable business information. These attacks have frustrated many organizations because traditional web security cannot these attacks. APIs face numerous threats, some of which are slightly new versions of very familiar types of attacks:

- **DoS Attacks** – APIs are potentially open to flooding and other types of DoS attacks that can bring back-end systems to a halt. A DoS attack cripples an API by overwhelming it with requests (e.g. a “Request Burst”).

- **Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)** is a hacking technique that takes advantage of known vulnerabilities in a web-based application, the servers that support it or related plug-ins. The XSS attack places malicious code into content that is delivered from the compromised site. The reason that XSS is so dangerous is that the tainted content arrives at the API from a trusted source. It has all the permissions granted to that system. By placing malicious code on web pages, the hacker can get high level access-privileges to confidential content, cookies, and other browser-based information about the user. Several high-profile sites, such as Twitter, have suffered from XSS attacks and many other prominent sites have been described as vulnerable to them.

- **SQL Injections** attack database-driven web applications. In this type of attack, the hacker places malicious SQL statements into an entry field for execution. For example, the hacker could instruct the database to dump the database to the attacker. SQL injections exploit security vulnerabilities such as incorrect filtering for string literal escape characters embedded in SQL statements.

- **Parameter Attacks** in general are one of the most vexing threats in the API world. They threaten APIs by modifying the parameters of the API call. For example, HTTP Parameter Pollution (HPP) changes the HTTP parameters of a web application in order to perform a malicious task different from the intended behavior of the application. This hacking technique is considered to be simple but quite effective. Furthermore, these attacks can be realized because the input is not sanitized properly. HPP injects encoded query string delimiters in existing or other HTTP parameters (i.e. GET/POST/Cookie), which make it feasible to supersede parameter values that already exist to inject a new parameter or exploit variables from direct access. This attack affects all web technologies, whether running client-side or server-side.

- **Malicious Code Injection** – Manipulates security design flaws in technologies to send valid code to services using SQL, LDAP, XPATH, or XQuery statements to open up the interface for any user to take control or to cause harm.
• **Business Logic Attacks (BLA)** – Because the API’s makes business-related operations available as procedure calls, a hacker can actually attack the business logic of a company using an API attack. As opposed to “traditional”, technical, application attacks, for example, XSS or SQL Injection, business logic attacks do not contain malformed requests and include legitimate input values making this sort of attack difficult to detect. Furthermore BLAs abuse the functionality of the application, attacking the business directly. A BLA is further enhanced when combined with automation where botnets are used to challenge the business application.

• **Tampering with API Requests and Responses** – An attack that manipulates the API request and response parameters exchanged between client and services with the goal of modification of data. An Example of this is the “Man in the Middle” type of attacks. API Data eavesdropping can occur when non-secure API communications expose the data to access while in transit.

• **Identity and Session Threats** – APIs can be exploited to allow for session fixation, wherein a hacker uses a real user’s session ID to gain access to the user’s account. APIs are vulnerable to misuse and unauthorized use if they lack adequate authentication/Authorization (AU/AZ) controls. This is true for direct app-to-API calls but the risks can be worse with multi-party authentication schemes, e.g., where a user of an application wants to grant permission to a website to access his or her private data from a third source.

• **Lack of Rate Limiting or Quality of Service (QoS)** – In its natural state, an API has no way to limit the number of calls it can receive. An API in this condition can be flooded and shut down, or made so busy that it affects QoS for legitimate users.

• **Service Information Leakage** – An API inadvertently leaking data about its configuration, resulting in the ability to take control or expose private data. Broken Session IDs, Keys and Authentication create exposure to unauthorized access through authentication factors that are not functioning because of poor security design or technology bugs.

---

**Risk Exposure/Business Impact**

What is the actual exposure faced by businesses with API security vulnerabilities? Of course, specific exposure will vary from company to company, but in many cases, the business impact of an API-related security incident can be quite serious. The following table summarizes the major exposure categories and their potential impacts on business.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Exposure</th>
<th>Potential Business Impact</th>
<th>Drivers of Business Impact Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Loss of service | • Lost revenue  
• Negative impact on customers  
• Loss of trust from business partners  
• Contract breach  
• Employee stress | • Importance of service quality and QoS to business  
(i.e., stock tracking would be a high level of importance.) |
| Compromise of Personal Identifiable Information (PII) | • Legal liability  
• Loss of reputation/Damage to brand  
• Compliance liability  
• Loss of trust from business partners | • Regulatory environment  
• Requirement to be PCI compliant  
• Contractual obligations to protect PII |
| Improper access to data/Theft/Leak of Private data | • Loss of intellectual property  
• Competitive disadvantage  
• Legal liability  
• Loss of reputation/Damage to brand  
• Compliance liability  
• Loss of trust from business partners | • Value of data exposed by APIs  
• Regulatory environment  
• Contractual obligations to protect data |
The challenge is to make APIs part of that broader Identity and Access management apparatus. The API Management tier needs to both provide basic built-in authentication and authorization capabilities as well as integrate with existing enterprise identity and access management systems. API providers should also take into account the context in which the API or the app is being used by considering authorization based on details such as user, application, geo-location, device type, and time. Applying flexible run-time policies and managing these policies from a centralized management console increases the flexibility and the control API provider can have on these parameters.

Figure 1: API Authentication and Authorization

Akana API Gateway offers multiple modes of authentication and authorization, including a built-in access management system. The API Gateway integrates with other enterprise identity and access management systems, such as LDAP, Tivoli Access Manager, Oracle Access Manager, Microsoft Active Directory, and so forth. In addition, the API Gateway is capable of issuing X509 certificates.
In the case of DoS, attack prevention occurs on two levels. API providers should limit the number and rate of API calls by any specific app. They should monitor usage and send alerts to system administrators if the API is getting overloaded with requests or is subject to suspicious patterns of API calls from the network. The Akana API Gateway provides these capabilities but also adds a licensing capability that makes it possible for API owners to establish contractual relationships with apps, including pay for API use terms. Licensing, combined with rate limiting and QoS monitoring, greatly reduces the risk of DoS attacks.

Encrypt the Message Channel

Encryption for API security must be pervasive and flexible. API security providers should enable SSL/TLS encryption for all APIs by default. Enabling SSL is an essential and basic step for all API providers, and provides an extremely effective defense against “man in the middle” attacks. A built-in PKI and key distribution model can ensure the privacy of customer data with sophisticated encryption and signature capabilities. It can also provide a mechanism for client-side authentication using certificates. In order for an API security toolset to mitigate the risk of the “man in the middle” attack, it must facilitate message encryption.
Monitoring your APIs and capturing detailed logs and audit records is essential to managing the overall security of your APIs. The API Management platform should provide the ability to export log and audit records for offline analysis.

Akana's API Management platform provides extensive monitoring, auditing, QoS and licensing capabilities, along with the ability of exporting these log and audit records for offline analysis. Leveraging both real-time monitoring, customizable alerts and offline analysis, API providers can ensure that their API infrastructure is secured against potential attacks and provide administrators the capability to quickly react to adverse events and quickly resolve them.

Building API Security into Software Development and Deployment Processes

One thing that should be clear to infosec professionals is that API security will not be optimal without a comprehensive, policy-based approach. Using a scattered toolset and ad hoc security rules will almost certainly lead to gaps in security and exposure to unnecessary risks. APIs that your organization develops, as well as the apps that connect to them, should be governed by a coherent set of security policies across the complete API life cycle. At the planning stage, architects and developers should think through the dependencies, authentication issues, data integrity challenges, and so forth, that will affect the API once it is developed and deployed. In development, the policies established for the API should be implemented. For example, if an API requires an OAuth token for third-party authentication, the capability to provision that token should be built into the API code. Before deployment, APIs should be subject to penetration testing.

At runtime, the API should be monitored for threats and performance issues that might indicate a looming security incident. Rates and QoS should be established to mitigate against flooding and DoS attacks.

Akana API Platform supports SSL/TLS as well as message-based encryption and decryption using the XML-Encryption standards. In case API providers decide to use XML, the API Gateway can sign and verify messages and headers to provide non-repudiation. It uses built-in PKI services to simplify key and certificate distribution and management.

Monitor, Audit, Log and Analyze Your API Traffic

Authentication and authorization enable an enterprise to resolve who can use what in the API. However, what about how many times is your API being used by a specific app or a user? More importantly, how is it being used? Excessive API use can be even more damaging and costly to the API provider than no use at all. It is not possible to implement the ability to manage an API’s QoS without the ability to monitor the activities and usage of the API. Your API management solution should enable you to monitor the performance and availability of an API and its consumers. It is also a best practice to monitor aspects of the usage of the API, such as most popular consumers, most popular operation, or operation consumption per consumer. Analytics from the running of the API can be very useful in the planning of extensions to the API, or in understanding the usage of your APIs and use that to further harden your API against attacks.

Furthermore, if your API is involved in financial transactions, you might be required to make the API metrics part of your audit process. Country or industry specific laws or other compliance policies could require you to adhere to specific security practices. For instance, you might need to provide auditors with verifiable logs of API requests and responses to enable the detection of unauthorized users.

Lastly, root cause analysis heavily relies on logging as a means of providing a window into the actions of the API at the time of errors or security breaches. Without some deep, but easily variable, logging capability, API issue resolution would become a horribly hit-and-miss activity.
Security managers have many options they can employ to devise and implement API security policies.

The Akana API Gateway is one such tool, with comprehensive out-of-the-box policies. The Gateway allows security managers to choose from different authentication schemes, standards and token types to ensure that only valid users and applications get access to your APIs. The following recommended API security countermeasures are contained as features in the Gateway, though they can also be realized through alternative means. The advantage of the Gateway, and tools like it, is that it can make a complete API security regime possible within a single platform.

Use a PCI Compliant Infrastructure
API security must be part of the PCI compliant controls that ensure that Personal Identifiable Information (PII) is protected. APIs in PCI complaint businesses have to be hosted on infrastructure that meets the strict criteria of the PCI regulations. Akana’s API Management solution and cloud offering have been validated for compliance with version 3.0 of the Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS). Akana recently underwent a series of rigorous audits by an independent Quality Security Assessor (QSA) to ensure that it met best practices and security controls needed to keep sensitive data secure during transit, processing and storage. Akana is one of the few ‘Approved Service Providers’ for major credit card brands. To pass the audit, Akana had to demonstrate that it had an extensive, secure network coupled with 24/7 technical support that minimizes risks that can compromise sensitive data. Features include antivirus management, vulnerability scanning, a secure audit trail and resource tracking.

Conclusion
Despite posing a potentially serious impact on business, API threats can be mitigated. Protecting APIs from threats is a manageable prospect for organizations that have a commitment to information security. In most cases, API security will be an extension of existing security policies and controls, with some new elements added in. APIs do present some unusual security challenges, however, due to the programmatic nature of the access they provide to outside users. With the right API security toolset, even these risks can be mitigated. When organizational attention is focused on API security, security managers can realize effective API risk mitigation by controlling access to APIs, limiting API usage, monitoring quality of service, and encrypting API calls and responses. It is a process that should encompass the entire API lifecycle, from planning through development and runtime.